

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/723,730	LARCHEVEQUE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thanh-Ha Dang	2163	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Thanh-Ha Dang, Examiner.

(3) Michael K. Colby (RN 45,816).

(2) Shahid Alam, Primary Examiner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 May 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 20 and 22.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

T. bang  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
We discussed claims 9, 20 and 22 regarding 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections due to the claims reciting computer readable medium. Applicant's representative agreed to amend "computer readable medium" to "computer readable storage medium". Also, Applicant's representative agreed to amend Claim 22 so it is similar to the other claims. Applicant's representative electronically submitted the amendment as well as fax-copy.